| 1 | LAWRENCE A. ORGAN (SBN 175503) | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | larry@civilrightsca.com | | | | |
| 2 | MARQUI HOOD (SBN 214718) | | | | |
| 3 | marqui@civilrightsca.com | | | | |
| | CIMONE A. NUNLEY (SBN 326915) | | | | |
| 4 | cimone@civilrightsca.com | D | | | |
| 5 | CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW GROU 332 San Anselmo Avenue | r | | | |
| 7 | San Anselmo, California 94960 | | | | |
| 6 | Telephone: (415)-453-7352 | | | | |
| _ | Facsimile: (415)-785-7352 | | | | |
| 7 | (120) / 50 / 502 | | | | |
| 8 | J. BERNARD ALEXANDER (SBN 128307) | | | | |
| | balexander@amfllp.com | | | | |
| 9 | ALEXANDER MORRISON + FEHR LLP | | | | |
| 10 | 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 | | | | |
| 10 | Los Angeles, California 90067 | | | | |
| 11 | Telephone: (310) 394-0888 | | | | |
| | Facsimile: (310) 394-0811 | | | | |
| 12 | MICHAEL PURIN (SRN 80618) | | | | |
| 13 | MICHAEL RUBIN (SBN 80618) mrubin@altber.com | | | | |
| | IONATHAN ROSENTHAL (SRN 329638) | | | | |
| 14 | jrosenthal@altber.com | | | | |
| 15 | ALTCHULED DEDZONILID | | | | |
| | 177 Post Street, Suite 300 | | | | |
| 16 | San Francisco, California 94108 | | | | |
| | Telephone: (415) 421-7151 | | | | |
| 17 | Facsimile: (415) 362-8064 | | | | |
| 18 | Attorneys for Plaintiff Owen Diaz | | | | |
| | Allorneys for T lainliff Owen Diaz | | | | |
| 19 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| $_{20} $ | NODEHEDA DICEDI | CT OF CALLEODNIA | | | |
| | NORTHERN DISTRI | CT OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 21 | SAN FRANCIS | SCO DIVISION | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| | OWEN DIAZ, | Case No. 3:17-cv-06748-WHO | | | |
| 23 | Plaintiff, | PLAINTIFF'S DISCOVERY | | | |
| 24 | Tidilitiii, | DESIGNATION WITH DEFENDANT'S | | | |
| 24 | v. | OBJECTIONS AND PLAINTIFF'S | | | |
| 25 | TESLA, INC. DBA TESLA MOTORS, INC., | RESPONSES | | | |
| | TESLA, INC. DBA TESLA MOTORS, INC., | | | | |
| 26 | Defendant. | Trial Date: March 27, 2023 | | | |
| 27 | | Complaint Filed: October 16, 2017 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |

Plaintiff Owen Diaz hereby designates the following discovery requests and responses for presentation at trial as part of his case in chief.

3 4

5

1

2

Initial Discovery Information Pursuant to G.O. No. 71:

Initial Disclosures: March 30, 2018 (Section (3)a).

| 6 7 | NO. | INTERROGATORY | RESPONSE | OBJECTION/ COUNTER- DESIGNATION | PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE |
|--------|-----|------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 8 | 71. | I. During their | | The information in the | Plaintiff is |
| 9 | | temporary | | discovery request and | agreeable to |
| 10 | | assignments to Tesla, | | response concerning | removing the |
| 11 | | Plaintiff Demetric Di- | | Demetric Di-az is | references to |
| 12 | | az and Plaintiff Owen | | irrelevant to the issues | Demetric Di-az. |
| 13 | | Diaz (collectively | | presented in the retrial | |
| 14 | | "Plaintiffs"), were | | and unduly prejudicial | |
| 15 | | supervised by: | | to Tesla and potentially | |
| 16 | | A) Javier | | confusing to the jury. | |
| 17 | | Caballero: | | (FRCP Rules 402 and | |
| 18 | | Plaintiff | | 403) The discovery | |
| 19 | | Demetric Di-az | | discloses that Demetric | |
| 20 | | B) Ed Romero: | | Di-az asserted claims | |
| 21 | | Plaintiff Owen | | as a Plaintiff which | |
| 22 | | Diaz | | should be excluded. | |

23

24

25

Special Interrogatories to Defendant Tesla:

Set One: June 4, 2018 (No. 8)

Set Three: May 24, 2019 (Nos. 17-18)

27

26

| $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | NO. | INTERROGATORY | RESPONSE | OBJECTION/ COUNTER- DESIGNATION | PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE |
|--|-----|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 3 | 8. | Please provide the last | Mr. Romero is | DESIGNATION | |
| $\frac{3}{4}$ | | best-known contact | a former Tesla | | |
| 5 | | information for | employee but | | |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$ | | Edward Romero. (In | he is | | |
| 7 | | responding to this | represented by | | |
| $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 8 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ | | interrogatory, the term | counsel for | | |
| $\begin{bmatrix} \circ \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$ | | contact information, | Tesla in this | | |
| | | includes, but is not | action. | | |
|) | | limited to, address, | Accordingly, | | |
| | | phone number and | Mr. Romero | | |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ | | email.) | may be | | |
| 3 | | | contacted | | |
| 4 | | | through | | |
| 5 | | | counsel of | | |
| 6 | | | record for | | |
| 7 | | | Tesla. | | |
| 3 | 17. | Please DESCRIBE in | Victor | | |
| 9 | | comprehensive detail | Quintero's | | |
|) | | each position Victor | position is | | |
| \ | | Quintero has held | Manager, | | |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ \end{bmatrix}$ | | during his | Recycling | | |
| 3 | | employment at the | Services, from | | |
| 4 - | | TESLA FACTORY | May 12, 2015, | | |
| 5 | | from 2014 to present. | through the | | |
| 5 | | (For the purposes of | date of this | | |
| 7 <u> </u> 3 <u> </u> | | responding to this | response. | | |

| 1 2 | NO. | INTERROGATORY | RESPONSE | OBJECTION/ COUNTER- DESIGNATION | PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE |
|-----|-----|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 3 | | interrogatory, the term | | 220101111111 | |
| 4 | | "DESCRIBE" means | | | |
| 5 | | to list, for each | | | |
| 6 | | position, the job title, | | | |
| 7 | | job duties, hours | | | |
| 8 | | worked, and dates the | | | |
| 9 | | position was held.) | | | |
| 10 | 18. | Please DESCRIBE in | Ramon | The information in the | Plaintiff is agreeable |
| 11 | | comprehensive detail | Martinez was | discovery request and | to removing the |
| 12 | | each position Ramon | not | response concerning | references to |
| 13 | | Martinez held during | employment | Demetric Di-az is | Demetric Di-az. |
| 14 | | his employment at the | by Tesla | irrelevant to the issues | |
| 15 | | TESLA FACTORY. | during the time | presented in the retrial | |
| 16 | | (For the purposes of | that Plaintiff | and unduly prejudicial | |
| 17 | | responding to this | Owen Diaz or | to Tesla and | |
| 18 | | interrogatory, the term | Plaintiff | potentially confusing | |
| 19 | | "DESCRIBE" means | Demetric Di- | to the jury. (FRCP 402 | |
| 20 | | to list, for each | az worked at | and 403) The | |
| 21 | | position, the job title, | Tesla. Ramon | discovery discloses | |
| 22 | | job duties, hours | Martinez's | that Demetric Di-az | |
| 23 | | worked, and dates the | position from | asserted claims as a | |
| 24 | | position was held.) | January 14, | Plaintiff which should | |
| 25 | | | 2019, to the | be excluded. | |
| 26 | | | date of this | | |
| 27 | | | response is | | |

| | Lead Material Handler. | DESIGNATION | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Handler. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Counter to Request | ts for Admissions | s to Defendant Tesla: | |
| (ay 24, 2019 (No. 2) | | | |
| ectober 11, 2019 (No. | . 10) | | |
| , | , | | |
| | | | |
| | (ay 24, 2019 (No. 2) | | |

| NO. | INTERROGATORY | RESPONSE | OBJECTION/ COUNTER- DESIGNATION | PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE |
|-----|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2. | Admit that Plaintiff | Defendant admits | Relevance, | Plaintiff believes, |
| | Owen Diaz was | that it contracts | unduly | based on Defendant's |
| | working at the | with NextSource | prejudicial, and | contentions in the |
| | TESLA | to staff temporary | jury confusion. | pretrial statement, |
| | FACTORY | workers at its | The relationship | that Tesla Inc. will |
| | pursuant to the | facilities. It is | between Mr. | try to confuse the |
| | contract YOU had | Defendant's | Diaz and Tesla is | jury about the |
| | with Defendant | understanding | not at issue in | relationship Mr. Diaz |
| | Citistaff, Inc. | that NextSource | this trial. | has with Tesla Inc. |
| | | contracts with | | Therefore, Plaintiff |
| | | CitiStaff, | | believes this question |
| | | Solutions, Inc., | | is appropriate. The |
| | | among other | | response is also |
| | | third parties, to | | relevant to |
| | | secure temporary | | Defendant's |
| | | staffing at its | | reprehensible |
| | | facilities. | | conduct. |
| 10. | Admit YOU have | Admit. | | conduct. |
| 10. | | Admit. | | |
| | no security | | | |
| | recordings or | | | |
| | footage of any | | | |
| | interactions | | | |
| | between Plaintiff | | | |
| | Owen Diaz and | | | |
| | Ramon Martinez. | | | |

| 1 | Dated: February 13, 2023 | CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW GROUP ALEXANDER MORRISON + FEHR LLP |
|----------|--------------------------|--|
| 2 | | ALTSHULER BERZON LLP |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | /s/ Lawrence A. Organ Lawrence A. Organ |
| 5 | | Cimone A. Nunley Marqui Hood |
| 6 | | J. Bernard Alexander III Michael Rubin |
| 7 | | Jonathan Rosenthal Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| 8 | | OWEN DIAZ |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |